Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/618,070	PATTERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan S Mammen	3671
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Nathan S Mammen.	(3)	
(2) <u>Adrian Battison</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:	•	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
•		
N# M 19/8/09		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Battison to resolve two discrepancies in the application. First, the amendment to the specification, filed 9/7/04, listed the serial number of the related application as being the same serial number of the instant application. Second, the brochure listed on the IDS filed 9/7/04 was not in the application file. By fax response, Mr. Battison advised the examiner that the correct serial number for the related application should be 10/617889, and Mr. Battison included a copy of the brochure.